


Vii Nosil



AFFDL-TR-78-189 Volume II

A BIBLIOGRAPHY OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN UNSTEADY TRANSONIC FLOW Volume II

C. J. Borland

THE BOEING COMPANY P.O. Box 3999 Seattle, Washington 98124

June 1980

Final Report for Period 15 November 1978 to November 1979

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

FLIGHT DYNAMICS LABORATORY AIR FORCE WIRHGT AERONAUTICAL LABORATORIES AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433



#### NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (ASD/PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

WILLIAM A. SOTOMAYER Aerospace Engineer

Aerospace Engineer Aeroelastic Group FREDERICK A. PICCHIONI, Lt Col, USAF Ch, Analysis & Optimization Branch Structures & Dynamics Division

sol accures a syllan

FOR THE COMMANDER

RALPH L. KUSTER, JR., Col, USAF Ch, Structures & Dynamics Division

"If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization please notify AFWAL/FIBRC, W-PAFB, OH 45433 to help us maintain a current mailing list".

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

	DEDOOT DOCUM	READ INSTRUCTIONS								
	REPORT DOCUM	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM  3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER								
1.	REPORT NUMBER		2, GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CA	TALOG NUMBER					
	AFFDL-TR-78-189 VOL	. II								
4.	TITLE (and Subtitle)			5. TYPE OF REPOR	RT & PERIOD COVERED					
	A Bibliography of Recen	t Developme	ents in	Final Re	port					
	Unsteady Transonic Flow			15 Nov 197	9 - 15 Nov 1979					
	ons see any transcent of the see			6. PERFORMING OF	RG. REPORT NUMBER					
7.	AUTHOR(a)			B. CONTRACT OR	GRANT NUMBER(a)					
	C. J. Borland			F33615-78-C-3201						
				133013 73	0 0201					
9.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELE	MENT, PROJECT, TASK UNIT NUMBERS					
	The Boeing Company				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	P.O. Box 3999 Seattle, Washington	98124		24010224						
_										
11.	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND			June 1980						
	Flight Dynamics Laborat Air Force Wright Aerona	ory (FBK)	oratories	13. NUMBER OF PAGES						
	Whight Patterson AFR 0	hin 4543	3	26						
14	Wright-Patterson AFB, 0	RESS(II differen	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLA	SS. (of this report)					
				UNCLASSIFIED						
İ		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING								
ļ.,	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this	Pagest)								
, 0.				_						
	Approved for Public rel	ease; dist	ribution unlimite	ed						
17.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the	ebetract entered	in Block 20, If different fro	en Report)						
18	. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES									
			•		1					
19.	KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side				Unick and a					
	Transonic Flow	Theoretica			Unsteady					
	Transonic Aerodynamics		tal Aeroelas Flutter	ticity /	: Mark					
	Analytical	Numerical Flutter Nonlinear Oscilla		ina	Finite ifference					
\	Computational									
20	ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side	If necessary and	i identify by block number)							
•	A bibliography of recen	t developme	ents in unsteady	transonic flo	w is presented.					
	Papers have been divided	d into surv	vev. experimental	, and theoret	ical classifica- [					
	tions. Publications has	ve been rev	viewed, listed, a	nd summarized	in tabular					
			placed on numeric	al Solution of	unsteady					
	transonic flow problems	-			1					
		1			Y Company					

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE


UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

## **FOREWORD**

This report was prepared by Boeing Military Airplane Company, The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington, for the Analysis and Optimization Branch of the Structures and Dynamics Division, Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. Boeing conducted the work under Contract F33615-78-C-3201, "Transonic Unsteady Aerodynamics for Aeroelastic Applications" under Project 2401, and Task O2. Dr. James Olsen is the Project Engineer.

The updated bibliography was prepared during the period November 15, 1978 to November 15, 1979.

The Project Manager for Boeing was Dr. H. Yoshihara and the Principal Investigator was C. J. Borland.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION			PAGE			
I	INTROD	1				
II	DETAIL	ED REVIEWS	3			
	2.1	Survey Papers	3			
	2.2	Theoretical Papers	3			
	2.3	Experimental Papers	12			
III	SUMMAR	15				
TV	DEFERE	17				

# A Bibliography of Recent Developments in Unsteady Transonic Flow

### SECTION I INTRODUCTION

This report is the first yearly update of the bibliography published as Volume I. Papers and reports which have appeared or been uncovered during the latter part of 1978 and during 1979 have been reviewed.

Published works in the field of unsteady transonic flow which have become available during the past year have generally fallen into three categories, which seem to reflect a changing emphasis in the field:

- a) development of simplified methods, some based on extensions of linear theory, whose primary purpose is to provide insight into the nature of unsteady transonic flow, and which can be run economically;
- extension and applications of existing methods, based on previously published works, in particular, several papers covering applications to aeroelastic problems have appeared;
- c) experimental studies aimed specifically at obtaining unsteady pressure data for correlation of the results of numerical solutions.

In addition to these, some new developments in numerical solutions have become available. In particular, two important papers on solution of the full potential equation for two dimensional unsteady transonic flow have been published.

The following sections of this document consist of the detailed reviews, a summary chart, and an alphabetized list of references, including author, title, source, and date. No accession numbers were available since references were selected from original material, rather than from a data base search, as in Volume I of this report.

### SECTION II DETAILED REVIEWS

In this section, the recently published papers relating to developments in unsteady transonic flow and aeroelastic applications are reviewed in some detail. As in the previous volume, papers are divided into three basic categories, survey, theoretical, or experimental.

## 2.1 Survey Papers

Chapman, D. R.: Computational Aerodynamics Development and Outlook; AIAA Paper 79-0129, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.

- o 29 pages, 25 figures, 150 references
- Discusses state of the art in computational fluid mechanics. Particular emphasis on numerical solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. Transonic flow examples for wing body combinations, unsteady separated flow, aileron buzz.

## 2.2 Theoretical Papers

Ashley, H.: On the Role of Shocks in the "Sub-Transonic" Flutter Phenomenon; AIAA Paper 79-0765, 20th Structures, Structural Dynamics and Materials Conference, St. Louis, MO, April 4-6, 1979

o 11 pages, 20 figures, 34 references

o Basic Equations:

2-D Linear Unsteady plus "Shock

Doublet"

o Boundary Conditions:

Linearized Airfoil BC for plunging

and pitching

o Examples:

TF-8A Supercritical Airfoil: 18

percent biconvex, NACA 64A006

o Remarks:

Demonstrates qualitative effect of

presence and motion of shocks, through a simplified model, on

flutter.

Bennett, R. M., and Bland, S. R.: An Exploratory Study of a Finite Difference Method for Calculating Unsteady Transonic Potential Flow; NASA Technical Memo 80105, June 1979

o 20 pages, 15 figures, 12 references

o Basic Equations: 2-D Unsteady Full Potential

o Coordinate Systems: Stretched Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points: 57 x 57

o Boundary Conditions: Exact at mean position

o Numerical Algorithm: Semi-implicit

o Computation Times: 500-2500 CP seconds (Cyber 175)

o Examples: NACA 64A006 M=.5, .875

o Remarks: Application of Isogai full

potential code. Shows possible numerical resonances at discrete

frequencies

Chipman, R., Waters, C., and MacKenzie, D.: Numerical Computation of Aeroelastically Corrected Transonic Loads; AIAA Paper 79-0766; 20th Structures, Structural Dynamics and Materials Conference, St. Louis, Mo., April 4-6, 1979.

o 7 pages, 16 figures, 8 references

o Basic Equations: 3-D Steady Small Disturbance plus

linear aeroelastic

o Coordinate Systems: Stretched, swept Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points:  $60 \times 30 \times 20$ 

o Boundary Conditions: Linearized surface BC, modified

for aeroelastic deformation

o Numerical Algorithm: Line overrelaxation

o Examples: Supercritical transport wing

o Remarks: First known attempt to couple 3-D

CFD solution with aeroelastic solution Demonstrates feasibility of performing aeroelastic solutions for

essentially same cost as rigid

solutions.

Chipman, R. and Jameson, A.: Fully Conservative Numerical Solutions for Unsteady Irrotational Transonic Flow about Airfoils; AIAA Paper 79-1555, 12th Fluid and Plasma Dynamics Conference, Williamsburg, VA, July 23-25, 1979.

o 9 pages, 6 figures, 19 references

o Basic Equations: 2-D Unsteady Irrotational

o Coordinate Systems: Sheared Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points: 3000-5000

Boundary Conditions: Exact inviscid on time-dependent

airfoil shape

Numerical Algorithm: Explicit predictor-corrector on

primitive variables

o Examples: Circular arc airfoil, thickening

and with oscillating flap, NACA

64A006, (steady only)

Goorjian, P. M.: Computations of Unsteady Transonic Flow governed by the Conservative Full Potential Equation Using an Alternating Direction Implicit Algorithm; NASA CR-152274, June 1979.

o 45 pages, 9 figures, 28 references

o Basic Equations: 2-D Unsteady Full Potential

o Coordinate Systems: Sheared Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points: 151 x 41 (Symmetric)

o Boundary Conditions: Exact inviscid on time dependent

airfoil shape

o Numerical Algorithm: Fully Conservative ADI

o Examples: Circular arc thickening airfoil

o Remarks: Compares results of full potential

and small disturbance (LTRAN2)

calculations

Houwink, R., and Van der Vooren, J.: Results on an Improved Version of LTRAN2 for Computing Unsteady Airloads on Airfoils Oscillating in Transonic Flow; AIAA Paper 79-1553, 12th Fluid and Plasma Dynamics Conference, Williamsburg, VA, July 23-28, 1979.

o 7 pages, 7 figures, 9 references

o Basic Equations: 2-D Unsteady (low frequency) small

disturbance

o Coordinate Systems: Stretched Cartesian

Boundary Conditions: Small disturbance on oscillating

airfoil, flap

Numerical Algorithm: Time Marching ADI

o Examples: Flatplate, NACA 64A006

o Remarks: Extends LTRAN2 method of Ballhaus

and Goorjian to include time dependent terms in boundary conditions and pressure coefficient expression.

McGrew, J. A., Giesing, J. P., et al.: Supercritical Wing Flutter, AFFDL TR 78-37, March 1978.

o 221 pages, 90 figures, 17 references

Basic Equations: 2-D and 3-D Unsteady Linearized

Small Disturbance

o Coordinate Systems: Cartisian

o No. Mesh Points: 16 x 8 panels (typical)

o Boundary Conditions: Small Disturbance

o Numerical Algorithm: Doublet lattice with transonic

weighting factors

o Examples: TF-8A Supercritical wing flutter

model, YC-15 flutter model

o Remarks: Attempted to modify linear lifting

surface theory with steady and unsteady weighting factor to account for transonic effects. Corrections based on disturbances in nonuniform flow fields are

investigated.

Pi, W. S., Kelly, P. D., and Liu, D. D.: A Transonic Doublet Lattice Method for Unsteady Flow Calculations; AIAA Paper 79-0078, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979

o 9 pages, 10 figures, 21 references

o Basic Equations:

2-D and 3-D Linearized unsteady

small disturbance

o Coordinate Systems:

Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points:

100 panels

o Boundary Conditions:

Linearized

o Numerical Algorithm:

Doublet Lattice Method modified

for transonic effects

o Computation Times:

3 Min CPU (IBM370)

o Examples:

NACA 64A006 airfoil with .25c oscillating flap; AR=5 rectangular

wing

Rizzetta, D. P.: Time Dependent Response of a Two-Dimensional Airfoil in Transonic Flow; J. of AIAA, Vol 17, No. 1, January 1979.

o 7 pages, 10 figures, 23 references

o Basic Equations:

2-D unsteady (low frequency) small

disturbance

o Coordinate Systems:

Stretched Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points:

99 x 79

o Boundary Conditions:

Small disturbance on airfoil,

coupled with aeroelastic solution

o Numerical Algorithm:

ADI plus Adams-Moulton

predictor-corrector

o Examples:

NACA 64A010, M=.72, with various

aeroelastic parameters

o Remarks:

Uses LTRAN2 with coupled

aeroelastic solution to predict behavior of three-degree of

freedom system and sensitivity to

system parameters

Rizzetta, D.P., Rubbert, P., and Yoshihara, H.: Computation of Unsteady Transonic Flows with Boundary Layer Displacement Effects, Boeing Document D180-25071-1, February, 1979.

o 11 pages, 5 figures, 4 references

o Basic Equations: 2-D Unsteady small disturbance

o Coordinate Systems: Stretched Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points: 99 x 79

o Boundary Conditions: Small disturbance on oscillating

airfoil plus viscous ramp

correction

o Numerical Algorithm: ADI

o Examples: NACA 64A 010, M=.8, pitch

oscillation

Remarks: Uses LTRAN2 method plus viscous

ramp approximation to demonstrate

effects of including

shock-boundary layer interaction

on unsteady flow

Rizzetta, D. P., and Chin, W. C.: Effect of Frequency in Unsteady Transonic Flow, J. of AIAA, Vol 17, No. 7, July 1979

o 3 pages, 3 figures, 4 references

o Basic Equations: 2-D Unsteady small distrubance

o Coordinate Systems: Stretched Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points: 113 x 97

o Boundary Conditions: Small disturbance on oscillating

airfoil and oscillating flap, and

impulsive angle of attack

o Numerical Algorithm: ADI

o Examples: NACA 64A010 M=.82

o Remarks: Extends LTRAN2 method to high

frequency by including high frequency terms in potential equation, boundary condition, and pressure coefficient expression. Steger, J. L., and Bailey, H. E.: Calculation of Transonic Aileron Buzz; AIAA Paper 79-0137, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.

o 12 pages, 16 figures, 15 references

o Basic Equations: 2-D Thin-Layer Navier-Stokes

o Coordinate Systems: Conformal time-dependent "0-type"

and C-type" grids

o Boundary Conditions: Exact no-slip BC on fixed airfoil

with free-floating flap

o Numerical Algorithm: Approximate factored implicit

o Examples: NACA 65 - 213 with .25C flap M=.79

to .83

Remarks: Comparison of fully viscous CFD

computation with aileron buzz wind

tunnel data on 3-D wing.

Successfully predicts limit cycle behavior of free aileron.

Inviscid calculation shows instability but not limit cycle.

Williams, M. H.: Unsteady Thin Airfoil Theory for Transonic Flows with Embedded Shocks; AIAA Paper 79-0204, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.

o 11 pages, 12 figures, 19 references

Basic Equations: 2-D Linear Unsteady plus shock

disconuity

o Boundary Conditions: Linearized airfoil and oscillating

flap.

o Examples: NACA 64A006 with oscillating flap.

o Remarks: Uses experimental data to

determine shock strength and location, then modifies linearized airfoil theory to include shock

effect

Williams, M. H.: Unsteady Airloads in Supercritical Transonic Flows; AIAA Paper 79-0767, 20th Structures, Structural Dynamics and Materials Conference, St. Lous, MO, April 4-6, 1979

7 pages, 13 figures, 3 references

Basic Equations:

2-D Linear Unsteady plus Shock

Disconuities

**Boundary Conditions:** 0

Linearized steady and oscillating

airfoil, oscillating flap

Examples:

NACA 64A006 with oscillating flap

NACA 64A010 oscillating in pitch

Remarks:

Uses method of earlier paper.

Compares results with experimental data and Euler equation results

(Magnus).

Williams, M. H.: Linearization of Unsteady Transonic Flows Containing Shocks; J. of AIAA, Vol. 17, No. 4, April, 1979.

4 pages, 12 references

Basic Equations:

3-D Linear Unsteady

**Boundary Conditions:** 

Linearized Airfoil

Remarks:

Theoretical development of

linearized unsteady method which has been specialized to 2-D in

previous papers

Yang, T. Y., Striz, A. G., and Guruswamy, P.: Flutter Analysis of Two-Dimensional and Two-Degree-of-Freedom Airfoils in Small Distrubance Unsteady Transonic Flow, AFFDL TR 78-202, December, 1978

o 103 pages, 41 figures, 38 references

o Basic Equations:

2-D unsteady small disturbance

plus aeroelastic equation

o Coordinate Systems:

Stretched Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points:

35 x 38; 59 x 60; 79 x 99

o Boundary Conditions:

Linearized, oscillating airfoil

o Numerical Algorithm:

Relaxation (UTRANS) and Time

Marching ADI (LTRAN2)

o Examples:

NACA 64A006 M=.7, .85, .9, .95 NACA 64A010 M=.72, .76, .80, .94

o Remarks:

Demonstrates two degree of freedom airfoil flutter calculations using harmonic results from relaxation method (UTRANS) and indicial results from time marching method

(LTRAN2)

Yang, T. Y., Guruswamy, P., and Striz, A. G.: Aeroelastic Response Analysis of Two Dimensional, Single and Two Degree of Freedom Airfoils in Low Frequency, Small Disturbance, Unsteady Transonic Flow; AFFDL TR-79-3077, June 1979

o 55 pages, 18 figures, 13 references

o Basic Equations:

2-D unsteady small disturbance and

aeroelastic equations

o Coordinate Systems:

Stretched Cartesian

o No. Mesh Points:

79 x 99

o Boundary Conditions:

Linearized, oscillating airfoil

o Numerical Algorithm:

Time Marching ADI

o Examples:

Flat Plate M=.7 NACA 64A006 M-.85

o Remarks:

Demonstrates coupled time-marching aeroelastic integration solution

for dynamic response of two degree

of freedom airfoils

## 2.3 Experimental Papers

Davis, J., and Petrie, S. L.: Unsteady Pressures on an NACA 64A010 Airfoil: Experimental and Theoretical Results; AIAA Paper 79-0330, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.

o 10 pages, 18 figures, 13 references

o Facility:

Ohio State University Transonic

Airfoil Wind Tunnel

o Test Section and Wall Conditions:

6" x 12" open circuit, slotted

wall; independent plenum

o Model:

NACA 64A010 airfoil, 6" chord

o Mach Number:

.80

o Motion:

Sinusoidal Oscillation

o Frequencies:

110 Hz

o Measurements:

Steady and unsteady surface

pressures

o Remarks:

Comparisons with Euler (Magnus) and small disturbance (Traci) calculations and NASA/Ames Data

Davis, S. S. and Malcolm, G. N.: Experiments in Unsteady Transonic Flow, AIAA Paper 79-0769, 20th Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference, St. Louis, MO. April 4-6, 1979

o 17 pages, 26 figures, 26 references

o Facility:

NASA Ames 11 x 11 ft Transonic Wind

Tunne 1

o Test Section &

Wall:

11 ft x 4.5 ft between splitter plates,

slotted walls

o Model:

NACA 64A010 airfoil, .5m Chord

o Mach Number:

.5, .8

o Motion

Plunge, Pitch (axis variable)

o Frequencies:

Up to 60 Hz

o Measurement:

Steady and unsteady pressures

o Remarks:

Extensive data for comparison with calculations; effects of mean angle of

attack

Page 12

Roos, F. W.: Some Features of the Unsteady Pressure Field in Transonic Airfoil Buffeting; AIAA Paper 79-0351, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orlean, LA, January 13-17, 1979

o 10 pages, 21 figures, 17 references

o Facility:

NASA Ames 2 x 2 foot Transonic Wind

Tunnel

o Test Section:

61 cm wide

o Models:

NACA 0012, Whitcomb Supercritical

airfoil, 15 cm chord

o Mach No.:

.64 to .90

o Motion:

Steady

o Measurements:

Steady and unsteady pressures due to

buffeting

Tijdeman, H., et al.: Transonic Wind Tunnel Tests on an Oscillating Wing with External Stores, Part I: General Description; Part II: The Clean Wing; Part III: The Wing with Tipstore; Part IV: The Wing with Underwing Stores; AFFDL TR 78-194, Parts I-IV, 1979.

o approximately 300 pages

o Facility:

NLR High Speed Tunnel

o Test Section

and Wall Condition: Slotted walls

o Model:

F-5 wing NACA 65A-004.8 airfoil, AR=1.5

wall mounted, with external stores

o Mach number:

.6 to 1.35

o Motion:

Up to 40 Hz

o Measurements:

Steady and unsteady pressures

o Remarks:

Extensive unsteady pressure data for a

variety of configurations

Section III. Summary Chart

	-	Тур	Equations			Time- dependence Geometry					Solution method						Data						
Author (date)	Survey	Theoretical	Experimental	Navier-Stokes	Euler	Full potential	Small-disturbance	Linear	Steady	Unsteady	Airfoils	Control Surface	Wings	Wing-body	Relaxation	Time-marching	Finite element	Frequency expansion	Linear	Pressures	Forces, moments	Flutter	Wall effects
Ashley (1979)		•			Г			•		•	•	П						Г	•	Г		•	П
Bennett (1979)		•				•				•						•		Г		•	•	П	
Chapman (1979)	•																		_	Г		П	П
Chipman (1979s)		•					•		•				•		•					•	•		$\Box$
Chipman (1979b)		•				•				•	•		П			•				•		П	П
Davis, J. (1979)			•							•	•									•	•	П	$\Box$
Davis, S. (1979)			•						•	•	•									•	•	$\Box$	一
Goorgian (1979)		•				•				•	•					•				•		П	П
Houwink (1979)		•					•			•	•					•				•			П
McGrew (1978)		•						•		•			•						•	•	•	•	$\Box$
Pi (1979)		•		Г				•		•			•			_		П	•	•		•	$\sqcap$
Rizzetta (1979a)		•					•			•	•		П			•				•	•	П	П
Rizzetta (1979b)		•					•			•	•					•				•	•	$\Box$	$\dashv$
Rizzetta (1979c)		•					•			•	•					•				•	•		$\Box$
Roos (19/9)			•							•	•			$\neg$	7			$\exists$	$\dashv$	•			$\dashv$
Steger (1979)		•		•						•	•	•			$\neg$	•				•	•	$\dashv$	$\neg$
Tijdeman (1979)			•	П						•			•	╗	$\dashv$		寸	$\exists$	$\dashv$	•	•	$\dashv$	一
Williams (1979a)	П	•		П				•		•	•	•			7	$\neg$		-	•	•		$\dashv$	$\dashv$
Williams (1979b)		•		П				•		•	•	•		$\dashv$	7		一			•		-	$\dashv$
Williams (1979c)		•		П				•		•			•	_	7						$\dashv$	一	$\dashv$
Yang (1978)		•		П			•	$\dashv$		•	•			$\neg$	•	•	$\dashv$	7	Ť	•	•	•	$\dashv$
Yang (1979)		•		П			•	$\neg$		•	•			_	$\dashv$	•		7	ᅥ	•	•		$\dashv$
													_	_	7		_	7	$\dashv$				$\dashv$
·							$\Box$					_					$\neg$	┪	┪	_	_	$\neg$	┪
				П		$\Box$	$\neg$						-	$\neg$	7	_	一	┪	ᅥ	_		7	ᅥ
								$\neg$						$\Box$	7				$\dashv$	7		一	ヿ
								$\dashv$			_	_	7		7	-	7	_	ᅥ	-	_	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
	$\Box$					$\dashv$	$\neg$	寸				_	7	_	7	-	┪	┪	$\dashv$	7		$\dashv$	$\dashv$
			$\neg$		$\neg$	$\dashv$	┪	ᅥ			一	-	7	7	7	7	7	$\dashv$	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
			$\dashv$	$\Box$	$\neg$	┪	7	$\dashv$	$\dashv$		_	┪	7	7	+		+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
	1		H		7	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	┪	-		_	$\dashv$	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	┪	-+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
	-	_	$\dashv$	Н	$\dashv$	-	7	$\dashv$	<del></del> +	<del></del> †	-	-	$\dashv$	┥	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$	
	$\dashv$		$\dashv$			$\dashv$	7	7	<del></del>	<del>-  </del>	7	┪	+	┪	7	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	ᅱ
	+	7		$\vdash$	ᅥ	7	7	$\dashv$	<del></del>	<del> </del>	+	$\dashv$	+	┪	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	-+	$\dashv$	-+	$\dashv$
	$\dashv$	-	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	-	7	+	┪	+		-+	-+	+	+	+	+	┽	+	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
	7	+	$\dashv$	H	_	$\dashv$	+	┪	<del></del> +		+	-+	$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
		4				1					1	_ 1	1	1	$_{\perp}$	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	ı	1

#### SECTION IV REFERENCE LIST

- ASHLEY (1979)

  On the Role of Shocks in the "Sub-Transonic" Flutter Phenomenon; Ashley, H., Proceedings of the AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS 20th Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference, St. Louis, MO., April 4-6, 1979 (AIAA Paper 79-9765).
- BENEPE (1974)

  A Detailed Investigation of Flight Buffeting Response at Subsonic and Transonic Speeds, Benepe, D. B.,
  Cunningham, A.M., and Dunmeyer, W.C., AIAA Paper
  74-358, 1974.
- BENNETT (1979)

  An Exploratory Study of a Finite Difference Method for Calculating Unsteady Transonic Potential Flow;
  Bennett, R. M., and Bland, S. R., NASA Tech.
  Memorandum 80105, June 1979.
- CHAPMAN (1979) Computational Aerodynamics Development and Outlook; Chapman, D. R., AIAA Paper 79-0129, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.
- CHIPMAN (1979a)

  Numerical Computation of Aeroelastically Corrected Transonic Loads; Chipman, R., Waters, C., and MacKenzie, D.; Proceedings on the AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS 20th Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference; St. Louis, MO, April 4-6, 1979 (AIAA Paper 79-0766).
- CHIPMAN (1979b) Fully Conservative Numerical Solutions for Unsteady Irrotational Transonic Flow About Airfoils; Chipman, R., and Jameson, A., AIAA Paper 79-1555, 12th Fluid and Plasma Dynamics Conference, Williamsburg, VA, July 23-25, 1979.
- DAVIS, S. (1979) Experiments in Unsteady Transonic Flow; Davis, S. S. and Malcolm, G. N.; Proceedings of the AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS 20th Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference; St. Louis, MO, April 4-6, 1979 (AIAA paper 79-0769).
- DAVIS, J. (1979)

  Unsteady Pressures on a NACA 64A010 Airfoil:
  Experimental and Theoretical Results; Davis, J. A.,
  and Petrie, S. L., AIAA Paper 79-0330, 17th Aerospace
  Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979
- DOBBS (1979)

  B-1 Shock Induced Wing Oscillation- Response and Pressure Data; Dobbs, S.K., Rockwell International, Los Angeles Division, TFD-79-141, March 1979.
- ERICKSON (1974) Transonic single Mode Flutter and Buffet of a Low Aspect Ratio Wing Having Subsonic Airfoil Shape; Erickson, L.E., NASA TN-P7346, 1974.

GARNER (1977)

A Pratical Framework for the Evaluation of Oscillating Loading on Wings in Supercritical Flow; Garner, H.C., Conf. on Unsteady Airloads in Separated and Transonic Flow, AGARD CP-226, 1977.

GOORJIAN (1979) Computations of Unsteady Transonic Flow Governed by the Conservative Full Potential Equation Using an Alternating Direction Implicit Algorithm; Goorgian, P. M., NASA CR-152274, June 1979.

HOUWINK (1979)

Results of an Improved Version of LTRAN2 for Computing Unsteady Airloads on Airfoils Oscillating in Transonic Flow; Houwink, R., and Van der Vooren, J.; AIAA Paper 79-1553, 12th Fluid and Plasma Dynamics Conference, Williamsburg, VA, July 23-25, 1979.

LIU (1977)

Towards a Mixed Function Approach for Unsteady
Transonic Flow Analysis; Liu, D.D., Winther, B.A.,
Conf. on Unsteady Aerodynamics, AGARD CP-227, 1977.

MASON (1977)

An Automated Procedure For Computing the Three-Dimensional Transonic Flow Over Wing-Body Combinations, Including Viscous Effects; Mason, W.H. et al., AFFDL-TR-77-122, Vol I, February 1977.

MCGREW (1978) Supercritical Wing Flutter; McGrew, J.A., et al.; AFFDL TR-78-37, March 1978.

MOSS (1977) The Dynamic Response of Wings in Torsion at High Speeds; Moss. G.F., and Pierce, D., Conf on Unsteady Airloads in Separated and Transonic Flow, AGARD CP-226, 1977.

NIXON (1978)

Note on the Transonic Indicial Method; Nixon, D., J. of AIAA, Vol 16, No. 6, June, 1978, pp 613-616.

PI (1979)

A Transonic Doublet Lattice Method for Unsteady Flow Calculations; Pi, W.S., Kelly, P.D., and Liu, D.D., AIAA Paper 79-0078, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.

RIZZETTA (1979a) Time-Dependent Response of a Two-Dimensional Airfoil in Transonic Flow; Rizzetta, D.P., J. of AIAA, Vol. 17, No. 1, January 1979 pp 26-32.

RIZZETTA (1979b) Computation of Unsteady Transonic Flows with Boundary Layer Displacement Effects; Rizzetta, D., Ruppert, P., and Yoshihara, H., Boeing Document D180-25071-1, February, 1979.

RIZZETTA (1979c) Effect of Frequency in Unsteady Transonic Flow; Rizzetta, D.P., and Chin, W. C.; J. of AIAA, Vol 17, No. 7, July 1979, pp. 779-781.

RIZZETTA (1980) Computations of the Pitching Oscillation of an NACA 64A010 Airfoil in the Small Distrubance Limit; Rizzetta, D.P., and Yoshihara, H., AIAA Paper 80-128, January 1980.

ROOS (1979)

Some Features of the Unsteady Pressure Field in Transonic Airfoil Buffeting; Roos, F. W.; AIAA Paper 79-0351, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.

STEGER (1979) Calculation of Transonic Aileron Buzz; Steger, J. L., and Bailey, H. E., AIAA Paper 79-0134, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.

TIJDEMAN (1979)

Transonic Wing Tunnel Texts on an Oscillating Wing with External Stores (1984); Tijdeman, H., et al.; AFFDL-TR-78-194; March 1979.

WILLIAMS (1979a) Unsteady Thin Airford Theory for Transonic Flows with Embedded Shocks; williams, M. H.; AIAA Paper 79-0204, 17th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, New Orleans, LA, January 15-17, 1979.

WILLIAMS (1979b) Unsteady Airloads in Supercritical Transonic Flows; Williams, M. H.; Proceedings of the AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS 20th Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference, St. Louis, MO, April 4-6, 1979 (AIAA paper 79-0767)

WILLIAMS (1979c) Linearization of Unsteady Transonic Flows Containing Shocks; Williams, M.H., J. of AIAA Vol 17, No. 4, April, 1979 pp 394-397.

YANG (1978)

Flutter Analysis of Two-Dimensional and Two-Degree-of Freedom Airfoils in Small Disturbance Unsteady Transonic Flow; Yang, T. Y., Striz, A. G., and Guruswamy, P.; AFFDL TR 78-202, December, 1978.

YANG (1979)

Aeroelastic Response Analysis of Two Dimensional Single and Two Degree of Freedom Airfoils in Low-Frequency, Small-Disturbance Unsteady Transonic Flow; yang, T.Y. Guruswamy, P., and Striz, A.G.; AFFDL TR-79-3077, June, 1979.

